### UNREIMBURSED GENERAL AND AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY LOSSES

Table 42 shows that the amount of unreimbursed general and automobile liability losses averaged \$1.8 million in 1989 and \$1.7 million in 1988. The 1989 losses were .096% of revenues.

TABLE 42 LIABILITY RISK FINANCING COSTS: UNREIMBURSED GENERAL/AUTO LIABILITY LOSSES							
Gross Losses		Average	% Increase	Number of Respondents			
1989	\$667,531,173	\$1,799,275	2.9%	371			
1988	\$629,741,890	\$1,749,283		360			
	Gross Losses	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues				
1989	\$620,299,200	\$643,334,000,000	0 096%	354			

### UNREIMBURSED PRODUCT AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY LOSSES

Table 43 shows that in 1989 average losses for product/professional liability were \$2 million, up from \$1.2 million in 1988. These average liability losses were 64% higher in 1989 versus 1988. The 1989 losses were also .059% of revenues.

		TABLE 43 7 RISK FINANCING COST DUCT/PROFESSIONAL LIA		
	Gross Losses	Average	% Increase	Number of Respondents
1989	\$250,035,197	\$2,032,806	63.5%	123
1988	\$155,375,170	\$1,243,001		125
	Gross Losses	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues	
1989	\$134,327,726	\$225,578,000,000	0.059%	116

### OTHER UNREIMBURSED LIABILITY LOSSES

For the small number of respondents which reported losses for other lines of liability insurance, Table 44 shows that the average amount of other unreimbursed liability losses increased 236% from \$2.8 million in 1988 to \$9.5 million in 1989. This significant increase was due to one respondent with unreimbursed incurred losses (reserves, IBNR, and paid amounts) of \$348 million in 1989, which distorted the 1989 average. Without the losses, the average was much lower, (\$2,005,698) and actually decreased from 1988 to 1989.

TABLE 44  LIABILITY RISK FINANCING COSTS: OTHER UNREIMBURSED  LIABILITY LOSSES						
	Gross Losses	Average	% Increase	Number of Respondents		
1989	\$438,256,445	\$9,527,314	236.2%	46		
1988	\$119,018,428	\$2,833,772		42		
	Gross Losses	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues			
1989	\$89,556,035	\$85,111,000,000	0.105%	43		

### CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT FEES AND OTHER INTERNAL/EXTERNAL EXPENSES

Table 45 shows the claims adjustment fees and other internal and external expenses separately for unreimbursed liability and workers' compensation losses.

The average costs for adjusting unreimbursed liability losses increased slightly from \$403,991 in 1988 to \$410,751 in 1989. The expenses for liability losses were 13.62% of losses in 1989 and 13.34% in 1988.

The average cost to adjust workers' compensation losses increased 9% from \$339,086 in 1988 to \$368,345 in 1989. Expenses as a percentage of losses increased slightly for workers' compensation from 9.01% in 1988 to 9.15% in 1989.

This Table also contains the claims adjustment fees and expenses for liability and workers' compensation combined. Not surprisingly, the average increased from \$485,311 in 1988 to \$508,473 in 1989 while the expenses as a percentage of losses remained relatively the same at 11%.

TABLE 45 LIABILITY RISK FINANCING COSTS: CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT FEES AND OTHER INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL EXPENSES							
LIABILITY:			<u> </u>				
	Gross Expense	Average	% Increase	Number of Respondents			
1989 1988	\$70,649,342 \$67,870,645	\$410,751 \$403,991	1 7%	172 168			
	Gross Expense	Gross Liability Losses	% of Losses				
1989 1988	\$67,589,609 \$65,260,814	\$496,179,799 \$488,939,291	13 62% 13.34%	159 156			
WORKERS' COMPENSATION:							
	Gross Expense	Average	% Increase				
1989 1988	\$74,774,122 \$65,104,661	\$368,345 \$339,086	8 6%	203 192			
	Gross Expense	Gross WC Losses	% of Losses				
1989 1988	\$74,557,237 \$64,784,686	\$814,660,104 \$719,009,125	9.15% 9.01%	198 187			
LIABILITY AND WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMBINED:							
	Gross Expense	Average	% Increase				
1989 1988	\$145,423,464 \$132,975,306	\$508,473 \$485,311	4 8%	286 274			
	Gross Expense	Gross Losses	% of Losses				
1989 1988	\$145,549,979 \$132,975,306	\$1,310,839,903 \$1,207,948,416	11.10%	286 274			

# TOTAL UNREIMBURSED LIABILITY AND WORKERS' COMPENSATION LOSSES PLUS CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT FEES AND OTHER EXPENSES

According to Table 46, total unreimbursed liability losses and related expenses (excluding workers' compensation) averaged \$3.3 million in 1989, a 42% increase from an average of \$2.3 million in 1988. The 1989 losses plus expenses were .127% of revenues.

Total unreimbursed workers' compensation losses and expenses increased from an average of \$3.7 million in 1988 to \$4 million in 1989. Losses plus expenses were .205% of revenues, and the average losses and expenses per employee were \$267 in 1989.

TABLE 46 LIABILITY RISK FINANCING COSTS: TOTAL UNREIMBURSED LIABILITY AND WORKERS' COMPENSATION LOSSES PLUS CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT FEES AND OTHER EXPENSES							
LIABILITY:							
	Gross Losses/Expenses	Average	% Increase	Number of Respondents			
1989 1988	\$1,426,472,157 \$972,006,133	\$3,279,246 \$2,308,803	42 0%	435 421			
	Gross Losses/Expenses	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues				
1989	\$911,637,332	\$718,645,000,000	0 127%	412			
WORKERS	COMPENSATION:						
	Gross Losses/Expenses	Average	% Increase				
1989 1988	\$1,300,257,635 \$1,138,488,372	\$3,964,200 \$3,660,734	8.3%	328 311			
	Gioss Losses/Expenses	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues				
1989	\$1,223,989,400	\$597,679,000,000	0.205%	314			
	Gross Losses/Expenses	Gross Employees	Average Losses/Expenses Per Employee				
1989	\$1,247,100,395	4,668,537	\$267	321			
LIABILITY A	AND WORKERS' COMPENS	ATION COMBINED:					
	Gross Losses/Expenses	Average	% Increase				
1989 1988	\$2,726,729,792 \$2,110,494,505	\$4,021,725 \$3,246,914	23 8%	678 650			
	Gross Losses/Expenses	Gross Revenue	% of Revenue				
	\$2,135,626,732	\$907,131,000,000	0.235%	483			

## TOTAL LIABILITY RISK FINANCING COSTS

Table 47 provides the total risk financing costs for liability and workers' compensation. These values consist of total premiums, unreimbursed losses, and claims adjustment fees and related expenses. The average liability risk financing cost excluding workers' compensation equalled \$4.2 million and was .206% of revenues. The average workers' compensation cost was also \$4.2 million, and was .260% of revenues. The average cost for liability and workers' compensation was \$8 million, and was .427% of revenues.

	TABLE 4 1989 LIABILITY RISK FIN ID WORKERS' COMPENSATI CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT FEES	ANCING COSTS: ON PREMIUMS, UNREIMBUI	RSED LOSSES
LIABILITY:			
Gross Liability Risk Cost	Average		Number of Respondents
\$3,353,157,220	\$4,228,445		793
Gross Liability Risk Cost	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues	
\$2,678,695,174	\$1,300,466,000,000	0.206%	734
WORKERS' COMPENS	ATION:		
Gross WC Risk Cost	Average		
\$3,062,076,416	\$4,252,883		720
Gross WC Risk Cost	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues	
\$2,876,650,097	\$1,107,891,000,000	0.260%	668
LIABILITY AND WORK	ERS' COMPENSATION COM	BINED:	
Gross Liability/WC Risk Cost	Average		
\$6,415,233,636	\$8,029,078		799
Gross Liability/WC Risk Cost	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues	
\$5,555,345,271	\$1,302,536,000,000	O 427%	738

### INDUSTRY GROUP ANALYSES

Tables 48 through 51 provide a full review of liability and workers' compensation risk financing costs for each industry group.

According to Tables 48, 49, and 50, the transportation service industry (group 14) had the highest average industrywide liability, workers' compensation, and combined risk financing costs as a percent of revenues.

We also evaluated the workers' compensation costs per employee by industry group. Table 51 shows these results. The construction industry (group 4) had the highest average workers' compensation risk cost per employee industrywide at \$1,392.

LIABILITY RISK	FINANCIN	TABLE 4		ENT OF RE	VENUES		
		1989					
ndustry Group	Lowest Value	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highest Value	Industrywide	
1 Mining & Energy	.01	.11	.20	39	.95	17	
2 Food, Agriculture	.02	.06	23	.44	.47	.16	
3 Food, Tobacco, Textiles	.01	.07	13	.26	15 46	.13	
4 Construction	.11	.32	.70	1.25	5.05	42	
5 Lumber, Furniture, Packaging	.01	.05	.08	23	75	09	
6 Printing, Publishing	.04	.05	.12	.24	.38	19	
7 Chemicals, Rubber, Plastic	.01	.19	.32	.48	2.26	26	
8 Primary Metals, Leather, Stone	.04	.12	22	28	2.52	21	
9 Metal Products	.05	.19	29	74	1.33	.28	
10 Machinery	.04	.10	30	.66	1.43	.23	
11 Electrical Egmt., Instruments	.02	.11	.20	.46	1,10	.09	
12 Misc Manufacturing Industries	00	.18	30	.40	1.82	.35	
13 Transportation Equipment	.02	15	35	46	1 92	38	
14 Transportation Service	.09	.37	1 29	2 91	11.29	1.63	
15 Telecommunications	.04	.07	.13	.25	1.09	07	
16 Electric Utility	.02	.12	.19	.27	1 46	.20	
17 Natural Gas Utility	.06	.17	.36	41	78	.24	
18 Combination Utility	.01	.29	.53	1.15	3 50	41	
19 Wholesale Trade	.01	.09	.12	17	.30	.10	
20 Retail Trade	(0,	.14	.28	46	72	.20	
21 Finance-Bank, S&L, Holding Co	02	.12	.17	.39	7.26	23	
22 Finance-Real Estate, Other	.04	.28	55	1 00	8 4 3	.16	
23 Insurance	01	.02	.05	.10	.37	.07	
24 Personal, Business Service	01	.16	48	1 10	3.77	.36	
25 Health Care	.14	.71	1.54	1 97	7.12	1 42	
26 Educational, Nonprofit Institutions	03	.13	29	51	44 74	71	

15

01

.31

27 Governmental

72

2,68

53

TABLE 49
WORKERS' COMPENSATION RISK FINANCING COSTS AS A PERCENT OF REVENUES

231				1989		
Industry Group	Lowest Value	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highest Value	Industrywide
1 Mining & Energy	.00	.06	13	.36	4 25	13
2 Food, Agriculture	.04	.06	.13	,21	.91	14
3 Food, Tobacco, Textiles	.00	.13	30	56	2.59	.37
4 Construction	.02	.57	.82	1,87	4 29	71
5 Lumber, Furniture, Packaging	04	.21	.36	.60	1.41	.23
6 Printing, Publishing	.08	,13	.19	.29	42	.20
7 Chemicals, Rubber, Plastic	.02	.09	.22	.47	2.14	.13
8 Primary Metals, Leather, Stone	.01	.29	.40	.60	7.61	.29
9 Metal Products	.09	.29	.51	.88	1.88	.37
10 Machinery	.03	.09	.19	36	.79	23
11 Electrical Eqmt , Instruments	.03	.18	25	.44	1 21	14
12 Misc. Manufacturing Industries	01	.19	.31	.51	1 22	35
13 Transportation Equipment	07	.13	.42	64	2.46	.35
14 Transportation Service	02	.45	.76	1.83	8.97	.95
15 Telecommunications	.01	.10	14	24	1 25	05
16 Electric Utility	.01	.07	13	.18	.31	.10
17 Natural Gas Utility	.02	.06	10	16	.83	.20
18 Combination Utility	.02	.15	23	70	94	.17
19 Wholesale Trade	.00	.06	,14	23	1 32	14
20 Retail Trade	.01	.24	40	.60	1,44	29
21 Fiлance-Bank, S&L, Holding Co	00	.04	.07	12	1,40	09
22 Finance-Real Estate, Other	.00	.03	.13	65	2.70	.05
23 Insurance	00	.02	.03	.06	.37	.06
24 Personal, Business Service	00	14	.33	1 03	5.39	.42
25 Health Care	.04	.43	75	1.09	3 62	82
26 Educational, Nonprofit Institutions	.01	14	.28	52	2.37	,46
27 Governmental	.01	24	.59	92	6.80	.22

# TABLE 50 LIABILITY AND WORKERS' COMPENSATION RISK FINANCING COSTS AS A PERCENT OF REVENUES

				1989		
Industry Group	Lowest Value	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highest Value	Industrywide
1 Mining & Energy	.01	.16	.40	.69	5 20	.30
2 Food, Agriculture	06	.10	28	61	1 36	30
3 Food, Tobacco, Textiles	.01	.21	.43	89	15.46	50
4 Construction	13	1.26	1,86	3 40	8.13	1.13
5 Lumber, Furniture, Packaging	01	27	.43	.79	1,76	.32
6 Printing, Publishing	.14	.25	33	.45	65	.39
7 Chemicals, Rubber, Plastic	01	29	54	.79	3 74	.39
8 Primary Metals, Leather, Stone	.13	.35	.51	.81	10.13	.50
9 Metal Products	.27	.64	84	1.45	3 21	.65
10 Machinery	.11	.17	72	.98	1 65	.46
11 Electrical Eqnit., Instruments	05	.30	.49	.73	1 80	,23
12 Misc. Manufacturing Industries	.00	.41	53	.79	2 33	,70
13 Transportation Equipment	.16	23	86	99	4.38	.73
14 Transportation Service	.18	.68	2.01	4 90	20 25	2 76
15 Telecommunications	04	09	.21	44	2.34	.12
16 Electric Utility	.02	.17	27	.47	1,60	.30
17 Natural Gas Unitry	06	27	.46	67	1 24	44
18 Combination Utility	.07	.50	74	1 69	4 34	.58
19 Wholesale Trade	01	.16	23	.40	1.59	24
20 Retail Trade	.01	36	.61	100	2 17	.49
21 Finance-Bank, S&L, Holding Co	02	.17	26	.48	8.66	.32
22 Finance-Real Estate, Other	.05	44	.81	1.33	10 67	.21
23 Insurance	.01	04	.07	15	57	.13
24 Personal, Business Service	,01	39	1 03	2 62	8 19	79
25 Heafth Care	.60	1.54	2 22	2 77	10 73	2 25
26 Educational, Nonprofit Institutions	04	33	.57	1 14	44.74	1.17
27 Governmental	.01	35	86	1,48	7.53	.75

WORKER	S' COMPE	TABLE 51 NSATION CO	OSTS PER E	MPLOYEE		
				1989	_	
Industry Group	Lowest Value	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highest Value	Industrywide
1 Mining & Energy	\$123	\$337	\$695	\$1,011	\$7,764	\$706
2 Food, Agriculture	364	380	529	638	1,550	441
3 Food, Tobacco, Textiles	4	346	593	1,088	5,092	787
4 Construction	81	779	1,246	2,628	8,750	1,392
5 Lumber, Furniture, Packaging	170	368	616	900	1,551	574
6 Printing, Publishing	87	179	267	333	622	244
7 Chemicals, Rubber, Plastic	96	267	487	773	1,976	374
8 Primary Metals, Leather, Stone	25	544	845	1,227	3,045	444
9 Metal Products	115	376	620	958	2,829	594
10 Machinery	54	234	371	681	1,458	516
11 Electrical Eqmt., Instruments	150	262	408	499	1,251	328
12 Misc Manufacturing Industries	48	283	343	625	1,596	474
13 Transportation Equipment	100	487	625	945	2,075	527
14 Transportation Service	87	486	885	1,453	6,138	918
15 Telecommunications	10	144	201	378	534	81
16 Electric Utility	33	233	400	584	1,029	321
17 Natural Gas Utility	49	178	258	410	1,204	589
18 Combination Utility	54	382	514	772	1,343	460
19 Wholesale Trade	59	302	525	949	2,102	522
20 Retail Trade	8	153	296	644	1,373	265
21 Finance-Bank, S&L, Holding Co.	0	63	101	140	457	96
22 Finance-Real Estate, Other	61	154	282	603	1,618	206
23 Insurance	19	87	126	199	<b>7</b> 06	181
24 Personal, Business Service	45	125	280	722	29,556	468
25 Health Care	17	156	393	581	1,218	282
28 Educational, Nonprofit Institutions	5	118	189	323	26,516	271
27 Governmental	1.5	267	436	750	9,345	4 05

## V. CAPTIVE INSURANCE PROGRAM

In order to evaluate the net cost or benefit of any <u>wholly-owned</u> captive insurance company, respondents were asked to determine the extra cost (loss) or benefit (income) of their captive program, if applicable.

Table 52 shows that the average reported income generated by a captive insurance program for the 70 respondents was \$1.8 million. Captive net income as a percent of total premiums plus unreimbursed losses was 5.97%.

TABLE 52 1989 CAPTIVE INSURANCE PROGRAM							
Total Net Income	Average Income		Number of Respondents				
\$124,463,206	\$1,778,045		70				
Total Net Income	Gross Premiums Plus Unreimbursed Losses	Net Income as % of Premiums Plus Unreimbursed Losses					
\$124,074,206	\$2,079,637,749	5 966%	69				

#### VI. OTHER RISK MANAGEMENT EXPENSES

Other risk management expenses are typically defined to include costs for risk control (loss prevention) and the cost of outside services. However, since we received a low response to the Survey's questions on risk control expenditures, we excluded these costs from the <u>1990 Cost of Risk Survey</u> report. It is unusual for <u>all</u> corporate risk control expenditures to be administered and budgeted by the risk management department. In addition, some of the most significant risk control expenditures (the security system for an art museum, for example) are often perceived as being ordinary costs of doing business and are not reported as risk control expenditures.

We did receive an excellent response to our questions regarding alignment of risk control cost areas with responsible departments. The 1990 Survey questionnaire was expanded to include three additional cost areas: fleet or transportation safety; public safety; and an "other" category. We also increased the number of departments potentially responsible for each of the cost areas, by adding: operations; quality control/quality assurance; and an "other" category.

Table 53 shows the results of these findings. Respondents reported that their organization's risk management department was most likely to have responsibility for property protection (40.4%), fleet or transportation safety (30.3%), and public safety (37.1%). Not surprisingly, safety and health (or loss control) departments were primarily responsible for employee safety (28.8%) and industrial hygiene (28.3%).

		RISK (	IABLE 53 RISK CONTROL: RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENTS 1989	I ABLE 53 SPONSIBLE 1989	DEPARTME	NTS				
				RESPONSIBLE	SIBLE DEPA	DEPARTMENT				
COST AREA	Risk	Human Resources/	Safety &	Medical	Environ-	Facilities or Maintenance	Operations	Quality	Security	Other
	2	Personnel	Loss		>		Services,	and		
			Control)				etc.)	Quality Assurance		
Employee Safety	165	140	181	м	10	38	67	2	,	5
	26.3%	22.3%	28.8%	.5%	1.6%	6.1%	10.7%	3%	1.1%	2.4%
Industrial Pygisne	92	84	138	20	22	38	63	13	0	17
	18.9%	17.2%	28.3%	4.1%	4.5%	7.8%	12.9%	2.7%	%0:	3.5%
Property Protection	231	15	51	0	4	128	68	0	31	23
	40 4%	2 6%	%6 8	%0	2%	22,4%	15.6%	%0'	5.4%	4 0%
Environmental Affaus	100	26	70	8	711	58	80	œ	ო	52
	19,3%	5.0%	13.5%	%9	22.6%	11.2%	15.5%	1.5%	%9:	10 1%
Fleet/Transportation Safety	149	33	69	0	ιΩ	58	106	0	ഹ	64
	30.3%	\$ 7%	14.1%	%0.	1.0%	11,8%	22.0%	%0:	1.0%	13.0%
Public Safety (3rd Party)	176	25	72	-1	7	60	78	9	19	31
	37 1%	5 3%	15.2%	.2%	1.5%	12.6%	16.4%	1,3%	4.0%	6.5%
Emergency Response 8 Contragency Planning	138	45	75	9	13	999	129	3	33	53
	25.0%	8.2%	13.6%	1.1%	2 4%	10 2%	23 4%	2%	8 0%	9.6%
Security	18	57	39	Đ	3	103	105	2	104	41
	11.8%	11.1%	7.6%	%0.	%9:	20.0%	20 4%	%4.	20 2%	8.0%
Quality Assurance: Product Safety	34	e	01	1	m	σ,	901	143	-	2.1
	10.2%	%6.	3.0%	.3%	%6.	2.7%	32.6%	42.8%	.3%	63%
Other (e.g., Nuclear Safety)	20	2	22	3	7	9	25	3	0	വ
	21.5%	2.2%	23.7%	3 2%	7.5%	6.5%	26.9%	3.2%	%0:	5.4%
						7.1				

## COSTS FOR OUTSIDE SERVICES (EXCLUDING EXTERNAL RISK CONTROL)

Costs for outside services include brokers fees (those not included within premium cost), consulting fees, and miscellaneous costs not otherwise captured. Table 54 shows that these expenditures averaged \$76,780 in 1989 and were 1.040% of gross insurance premiums. Of special note, these expenditures decreased from 1985 Survey results where the average costs for outside services for 1984 were \$99,103 and the costs were 2.441% of premiums.

Average		Number of Respondents
\$76,780		410
Gross Premiums	% of Premiums	
\$3,010,598,806	1,040%	404
	Average \$76,780  Gross Premiums	\$76,780 Gross Premiums % of Premiums

### VII. RISK MANAGEMENT AND INSURANCE DEPARTMENT COSTS

RISK MANAGEMENT AND INSURANCE DEPARTMENT COSTS: WAGES, SALARY, OVERHEAD, TRAVEL, ETC.

Table 55 shows that the risk management department expenditures averaged \$342,969 in 1989. In addition, these costs were .018% of revenues, 5.70% of premiums, and 3.50% of premiums plus unreimbursed losses in 1989. We found dramatic decreases from 1984 data (1985 Survey Report) which indicated risk management department costs were 9.64% of premiums and 6.44% of premiums plus unreimbursed losses.

RISK M	TABLE 55 ANAGEMENT AND INSURAL 1989		rs
Gross Costs	Average		Number of Respondents
\$245,222,983	\$342,969		715
Gross Costs	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues	
\$219,513,238	\$1,218,445,000,000	0.018%	664
Gross Costs	Gross Premiums	% of Premiums	
\$242,727,955	\$4,259,011,348	5.699%	703
Gross Costs	Gross Premiums Plus Unreimbursed Losses	% of Premiums Plus Unreimbursed Losses	
\$243,200,010	\$6,953,086,902	3 497%	707

### SIZE OF RISK MANAGEMENT AND INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

Table 56 shows that in 1989, the average risk management and insurance department industrywide employed 4.96 professional and clerical employees. The median size for a department totalled 3 employees, and the highest value was 120 employees. Respondent risk management/insurance departments had, on the average, 3.15 professional staff members.

		SIZE OF RE	SK MANAG	TABLE		ICE DEPART	MENT	
1989	Lowest	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highest Value	Industry Average	Total Employees	Number of Respondents
Professional	0 10	1 00	2 00	3 00	95 00	3.15	2,516	798
Clerical	0 10	1 00	1 00	2 00	50.00	2.14	1,444	676
Total	0 10	1.50	3 00	5.00	120.00	4.96	3,960	798

The number of employees in the risk management/insurance department varied according to revenue size. Table 57 shows that 34.6% of the 746 respondents had 2.1 to 5 employees. Cumulatively, 76.4%, had total risk management employees ranging from 0 to 5 employees. Only 2.3% had over 20 total risk management employees.

SIZE OF RISK	MANAGEMEN	TABLE 57 SIZE OF RISK MANAGEMENT AND INSURANCE DEPARTMENT BY 1989 REVENUES	57 NCE DEPARTM	ENT BY 1989 R	EVENUES	
		TOTAL	RISK MANAG	TOTAL RISK MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES	YEES	
REVENUES	0 to 1	1.1 to 2	2.1 to 5	5.1 to 10	10.1 to 20	Over 20
\$30,000,000 or less	2	7	13	2	0	0
	40.5%	18.9%	35.1%	5.4%	%0`	%0.
\$30,000,001 to \$100,000,000	27	27	23	7	0	Q
	32.1%	32.1%	27 4%	8 3%	%0:	%0.
\$100,000,001 10 \$300,000,000	46	36	56	9	ഗ	٥
	27.9%	23.6%	33.9%	11.5%	3,0%	%0
\$300,000,001 10 \$1,000,000,000	38	64	65	24	10	ស
	18 4%	31.1%	316%	11.7%	4.9%	2.4%
\$1,000,000,001 to \$3,000,000,000	Ξ	33	69	33	7	9
	6.9%	20.8%	43.4%	20.8%	4.4%	3.8%
\$3,000,000,001 or higher	-	4	32	33	91	9
	11%	4 2%	33.7%	34 7%	20 0%	6.3%
Total, all respondents	138	174	258	118	41	17
	18.5%	23 3%	34.6%	15.8%	5.5%	2.3%

Table 58 shows the relationship between the size of the risk management/insurance department and the amount of premiums plus unreimbursed losses. Predictably, responding organizations with lower costs had smaller risk management departments, while those organizations with higher costs had larger risk management/insurance departments.

SIZE OF RIS	SK MANAGEN PREMIUMS F		ISURANCE (		т вү	
		TOTAL	RISK MANA	SEMENT EMI	PLOYEES	
PREMIUMS PLUS UNREIMBURSED LOSSES	0 to 1	1.1 to 2	2.1 to 5	5.1 to 10	10.1 to 20	Over 20
\$300,000 or less	10	1	3	1	0	0
	66 7%	6.7%	20,0%	6.7%	.0%	0%
\$300,001 to \$1,000,000	34	32	25	6	2	0
	34.3%	32 3%	25.3%	61%	2.0%	0%
\$1,000,001 to \$3,000,000	58	75	73	19	1	1
	25.6%	33 0%	32 2%	8.4%	4%	.4%
\$3,000,001 to \$10,000,000	17	54	103	33	8	4
	7 8%	24,7%	47 0%	15.1%	3.7%	18%
\$10,000,001 to \$30,000,000	4	12	43	38	22	4
	3.3%	9.8%	35 0%	30 9%	17.9%	3 3%
\$30,000,001 or higher	2	1	6	15	11	11
	4.3%	2.2%	13 0%	32.6%	23 9%	23 9%
Total, all Respondents	125	175	253	112	44	20
	17.1%	24 0%	34.7%	15.4%	6.0%	2.7%

## VIII. TOTAL COST OF RISK

Table 59 shows the total cost of risk, defined as the sum of premiums, unreimbursed losses, other risk management and insurance expenses, captive expenses, and departmental expenses. The cost of risk, as presented in this report, <u>does not</u> include risk control expenditures.

In 1989, the total cost of risk, as defined above, averaged \$9.6 million, was .518% of revenues, and .214% of assets. For financial institutions, the total cost of risk was .034% of deposits.

1989 TOTAL	TABLE 59 COST OF RISK (EXCLUDING F	SISK CONTROL EXPENDIT	URES)
Gross Cost of Risk	Average		Number of Respondents
\$7,740,276,778	\$9,591,421		807
Gross Cost of Risk	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues	
\$6,773,094,723	\$1,305,541,000,000	0 518%	744
Gross Cost of Risk	Gross Assets	% of Assets	
\$5,781,512,711	\$2,695,765,000,000	0.214%	650
Gross Cost of Risk	Gross Deposits	% of Deposits	
\$230,495,646	\$671,911,000,000	0.034%	51

Table 60 illustrates a useful alternative measure to the cost of risk: total property and liability premiums plus unreimbursed losses. The costs for these components averaged \$9.5 million, were .510% of revenues, .211% of assets, and .033% of deposits for financial institutions.

1989 TOTAL PROPERTY A	TABLE 60 ND LIABILITY PREMIUMS	PLUS UNREIMBURSE	D LOSSES
Gross Premium Plus Losses	Average		Number of Respondents
\$7,588,036,869	\$9,532,709		796
Gross Premium Plus Losses	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues	
\$6,648,548,704	\$1,302,536,000,000	0 510%	738
Gross Premium Plus Losses	Gross Assets	% of Assets	
\$5,695,185,198	\$2,689,759,000,000	0 211%	644
Gross Premium Plus Losses	Gross Deposits	% of Deposits	
\$223,537,845	\$671,911,000,000	0 033%	51

Table 61 shows that the average total property and liability premium per respondent was \$5.8 million in 1989. The highest total premiums paid by any respondent was \$162 million, while the lowest was \$13,000.

	TOTAL PROPERTY	TABLE 61	REMIUMS FOR 198	•
Lowest Value	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highesi Value
\$13,000	\$1,040,403	\$2,270,500	\$5,910,557	\$162,220,000
	Gross Premium	Avcrage	Number of Respondents	
	\$4,625,203,744	\$5,817,866	795	

Table 62 shows the relative sizes of the components of the cost of risk, excluding risk control costs Again, the lowest value of the cost of risk as a percent of revenues was negative since captive profits were considered a negative cost of risk.

	RELATI	TABL ONSHIPS OF COST		NENTS	
Year	Lowest Value	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highest Value
Cost of Risk as a P	ercent of Revenues				
1989	-0.506%	0.400%	0 770%	1,446%	49 485%
Property Premium:	s as a Percent of Reve	inues			
1989	0.001%	0 042%	0.081%	0.157%	7 858%
Property Premium:	s as a Percent of Asse	ıts			
1989	0.000%	0.030%	0 071%	0.133%	2 969%
Property Risk Final	ncing Costs as a Perce	ent of Revenues			
1989	0 001%	0.050%	0.094%	0 185%	7 938%
Property Risk Fina	ncing Costs as a Perce	ent of Assets			
1989	0.000%	0.036%	0 084%	0.160%	3 073%
Property Premium:	s as a Percent of Insur	red Value			
1989	0.000%	0.034%	0 071%	0 150%	56 228%
Łiability Premiums	as a Percent of Rever	nues			
1989	0.001%	0 095%	0 201%	0.454%	19.363%
Liability Risk Finan	cing Costs as a Percei	nt of Revenues			
1989	0.001%	0.117%	0 253%	0 601%	44 742%
Workers' Compens	sation Premiums as a	Percent of Revenues			
1989	0.001%	0.049%	0.144%	0.402%	7 613%
Workers' Compen-	sation Risk Financing (	Costs as a Percent of	Revenues		
1989	0.001%	0.104%	ن 257"√	0.618%	8 967%

Table 63 reviews the relationship of organization size and total property and hability premiums plus unreimbursed losses as a percentage of revenues. As evidenced, a clear relationship exists between these factors: as revenues increase, premiums plus unreimbursed losses as a percent of revenues appear to fall.

TABLE PROPERTY AND LIAE PLUS UNREIMBURSED LOS REVENUES BY R	BILITY PREMIUMS SSES AS A PERCENT OF
REVENUES	COST AS OF 1989 REVENUES
\$30,000,000 or less	5.202%
\$30,000,001 to \$100,000,000	2 164%
\$100,000,001 to \$300,000,000	1 289%
\$300,000,001 to \$1,000,000,000	0.923%
\$1,000,000,001 to \$3,000,000,000	0 690%
3,000,000,001 or higher	0.332%

Since many responding organizations have accurate records of premiums and losses, but not of other types of risk management costs, total premiums plus unreimbursed losses as a percentage of revenues is another way to examine relative costs of risk. Table 64 presents total property and liability premiums plus unreimbursed losses as a percentage of revenues by industry group classification.

The transportation service industry (group 14) had the highest average industrywide cost at 2.53% of revenues, according to this measure.

Tables 65 and 66 analyze the total cost of risk (excluding risk control expenditures) by industry group relative to revenues and assets. The industry with the highest average total cost of risk as a percentage of revenues was transportation service (group 14), reporting 2.81%. Relative to assets, health care (group 25) had the highest average total cost of risk as a percentage of assets, reporting 2.29%.

Please note that the column with the lowest values contains some negative figures. Although it does not make sense for firms to have a negative cost of risk, these were negative due to the information we received from certain respondents reporting gains from their captive programs.

TABLE 64
TOTAL PROPERTY AND LIABILITY PREMIUMS PLUS UNREIMBURSED LOSSES
AS A PERCENT OF REVENUES

				1989		
Industry Group	Lowest Value	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highest Value	Industrywide
1 Mining & Energy	.05	.46	.74	1.07	5 98	.54
2 Food, Agriculture	.22	.32	44	88	1.38	51
3 Food, Tobacco, Textiles	.03	.29	51	.95	16 84	57
4 Construction	.17	1.32	1 94	3 40	8.45	1 18
5 Lumber, Furniture, Packaging	.09	.42	.65	1.04	2 23	44
6 Printing, Publishing	.17	.32	42	61	.77	.47
7 Chemicals, Rubber, Plastic	.02	.43	.80	1.05	5.05	52
8 Primary Metals, Leather, Stone	.29	.38	.66	87	11 06	63
9 Metal Products	.42	.74	.93	1,50	3 33	.75
10 Machinery	16	.24	.75	1,13	1 71	51
11 Electrical Eqmt., Instruments	.06	.40	.61	87	1.95	27
12 Misc Manufacturing Industries	.05	50	.63	90	2 45	75
13 Transportation Equipment	.21	.30	91	1.12	5.84	77
14 Transportation Service	.20	1.23	3 07	4 92	20 50	2.53
15 Telecommunications	.07	.17	.27	73	3 01	17
16 Electric Utility	.02	.33	.61	89	1 85	.55
17 Natural Gas Utility	.10	.41	.53	.73	1.35	56
18 Combination Utility	.12	.69	1,14	2 02	4 44	79
19 Wholesale Trade	.01	.21	.28	44	1 77	27
20 Retail Trade	05	41	.68	1.02	2 29	.51
21 Finance-Bank, S&L, Holding Co	05	25	39	77	15 22	30
22 Finance-Real Estate, Other	08	51	1 16	3.48	18.61	.29
23 Insurance	04	.06	,10	.18	69	13
24 Personal, Business Service	.01	49	1 18	2 76	8.32	.80
25 Health Care	.67	1 62	2 37	2 89	11 02	2 23
26 Educational, Nonprofit Institutions	15	.45	73	1 37	44 79	103
27 Governmental	02	.52	97	1 59	7.88	34

# TABLE 65 TOTAL COST OF RISK AS A PERCENT OF REVENUES (EXCLUDING RISK CONTROL EXPENDITURES)

	1989					
Industry Group	Lowest Value	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highest Value	Industrywide
1 Mining & Energy	09	.52	77	1 05	6 09	.50
2 Food, Agriculture	22	34	.61	1 20	1 66	52
3 Food, Tobacco, Yextiles	09	.31	54	1.06	16,84	58
4 Construction	.19	1 40	1 97	3 70	8 82	121
5 Lumber, Furniture, Packaging	.09	.42	67	.98	2 33	.46
6 Printing, Publishing	.18	.35	43	65	81	.51
7 Chemicals, Rubber, Plastic	51	.41	.83	1 06	5.25	.49
8 Primary Metals, Leather, Stone	.29	.42	68	92	13 06	.64
9 Metal Products	.19	.74	.95	1.56	3.53	78
10 Machinery	18	.27	.73	1.24	1,71	.54
11 Electrical Egmt., Instruments	06	.46	.61	.90	2 06	.28
12 Misc Manufacturing Industries	.06	52	.67	90	2 55	76
13 Transportation Equipment	.21	42	.93	1 12	6 34	.81
14 Transportation Service	.23	1 46	2.95	5 13	20 72	2 81
15 Telecommunications	.07	19	28	79	3 34	18
16 Electric Utility	- 14	28	60	96	1 90	57
17 Natural Gas Utility	.10	.48	62	.79	1 28	59
18 Combination Utility	.02	63	1,19	2 21	4.90	82
19 Wholesale Trade	.02	23	30	46	189	28
20 Retail Trade	.05	.46	.72	1 07	2 36	53
21 Finance-Bank, S&L, Holding Co.	.05	26	43	.96	18 52	32
22 Finance-Real Estate, Other	.08	.51	1,16	3 48	18 91	31
23 Insurance	.04	.07	,11	20	707	13
24 Personal, Business Service	01	.45	1.22	2 84	8.62	82
25 Health Care	65	1.51	2 43	3 83	12.81	2 30
28 Educational, Nonprofit Institutions	.18	45	79	1/43	1948	1 11
27 Governmental	.02	60	1 16	1.81	8.36	37

# TABLE 66 TOTAL COST OF RISK AS A PERCENT OF ASSETS (EXCLUDING RISK CONTROL EXPENDITURES)

	1989					
Industry Group	Lowest Value	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	Highest Value	Industrywide
1 Mining & Energy	17	20	.57	.88	481	42
2 Food, Agriculture	.89	1 77	2 29	2.76	4 02	2.18
3 Food, Tobacco, Textiles	05	49	.96	2,08	15 86	90
4 Construction	06	1.78	4.22	7 35	26 45	1 24
5 Lumber, Furniture, Packaging	12	.26	71	1.37	3.30	.43
6 Printing, Publishing	22	.44	.58	77	1.08	54
7 Chemicals, Rubber, Plastic	-,68	43	90	1 44	6 66	.49
8 Primary Metals, Leather, Stone	.34	70	1 04	1.57	4 35	74
9 Metal Products	.28	.82	1 68	2,35	4 85	93
10 Machinery	.20	45	1.06	2.01	4 20	.90
11 Electrical Egmt , Instruments	.11	61	91	1 45	2 6 7	40
12 Misc. Manufacturing Industries	09	48	.93	2.46	4.12	93
13 Transportation Equipment	.43	95	1,48	2.02	3 99	1.42
14 Transportation Service	20	.76	1,49	5.74	19 82	1.35
15 Telecommunications	.03	07	13	.23	1 31	10
16 Electric Utility	- 04	.07	20	.25	74	16
17 Natural Gas Utility	07	.29	.45	.53	107	37
18 Combination Utility	01	24	35	52	2 27	31
19 Wholesale Trade	.24	55	69	1 15	1 46	99
20 Retail Trade	11	.86	1,29	197	15 28	71
21 Finance-Bank, S&L. Holding Co	01	.02	03	05	57	02
22 Finance-Real Estate, Other	.01	12	35	95	1 71	.04
23 Insurance	01	.02	.07	14	2 02	04
24 Personal, Business Service	.01	.55	1.54	2 98	55.51	36
25 Health Care	58	171	2 12	2 95	618	2 29
26 Educational, Nonprofit Institutions	04	,22	35	93	17 17	42
27 Governmental	.06	24	.41	88	13.45	.26

## IX. CANADIAN COST OF RISK

Canadian RIMS members were identified so their statistics could be compiled separately. All previous tables in this Survey include data on Canadian organizations, converted to U.S. dollars. This chapter presents Canadian data separately, in Canadian dollars, for those who wish to make Canadian-to-Canadian comparisons.

## CANADIAN PROPERTY RISK FINANCING COSTS

Table 67 indicates that the 1989 average total property premiums plus unreimbursed losses for Canadian organizations were \$1.5 million; the costs were .076% of revenues and .026% of assets.

TABLE 67  1989 TOTAL CANADIAN PROPERTY RISK FINANCING COSTS: PREMIUMS PLUS UNREIMBURSED LOSSES						
Average		Number of Respondents				
\$1,513,158		90				
Grass Revenues	% of Revenues					
\$170,340,553,689	0 076%	84				
Gross Assets	% of Assets					
\$486,370,902,351	0.026%	78				
	Average \$1,513,158  Gross Revenues \$170,340,553,689  Gross Assets	S TOTAL CANADIAN PROPERTY RISK FINANCING COSTS: PREMIUMS PLUS UNREIMBURSED LOSSES  Average  \$1,513,158  Gross Revenues % of Revenues  \$170,340,553,689 0 076%  Gross Assets % of Assets				

### CANADIAN LIABILITY RISK FINANCING COSTS

As shown in Table 68, average total Canadian liability risk financing costs, excluding workers' compensation, were \$2.4 million, and .123% of revenues. Average total Canadian workers' compensation risk financing costs were \$2.6 million, and .155% of revenues. The U.S. liability and workers' compensation risk costs were double the Canadian averages and costs as a percentage of revenues.

1989 TOTAL CANADIAN LIABILITY AND WORKERS' COMPENSATION RISK FINANCING COSTS: PREMIUMS, UNREIMBURSED LOSSES, AND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT FEES AND RELATED EXPENSES						
LIABILITY:						
Gross Lîability Risk Cost	Average		Number of Respondents			
\$219,417,506	\$2,359,328		93			
Gross Liability Risk Cost	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues				
\$213,384,662	\$173,390,478,396	0 123%	87			
WORKERS' COMPENSATION:						
Gross WC Risk Cost	Average					
\$118,656,039	\$2,636,800		45			
Gross WC Risk Cost	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues				
\$118,466,440	\$76,354,685,509	0.155%	43			

## TOTAL CANADIAN COST OF RISK

Table 69 documents the total Canadian cost of risk excluding risk control expenditures. The average Canadian cost of risk was \$4.4 million, .236% of revenues, and .077% of assets. For financial institutions reporting deposits, cost of risk was .014% of deposits.

TABLE 69 1989 TOTAL CANADIAN COST OF RISK (EXCLUDING RISK CONTROL EXPENDITURES)						
Gross Cost of Risk	Average		Number of Respondents			
\$422,532,261	\$4,447,708		95			
Gross Cost of Risk	Gross Revenues	% of Revenues				
\$412,312,500	\$174,387,814,201	0 236%	89			
Gross Cost of Risk	Gross Assets	% of Assets				
\$385,113,556	\$496,365,110,622	0 077%	83			
Gross Cost of Risk	Gross Deposits	% of Deposits				
\$30,678,614	\$217,330,012,741	0.014%	7			

### X. THE RISK MANAGER

### REPORTING RELATIONSHIPS

The top risk management executive reported to a variety of organization functions. As Table 70 indicates, 59.56% reported to the Finance or Treasury function. As reported in the 1985 Survey, 65.6% of the risk management executives reported to the Finance or Treasury function.

TABLE 70 1989 TOP RISK MANAGEMENT EXECUTIVE: REPORTING RELATIONSHIP							
Function to Which Top Risk Management Executive Reports	Number of Respondents	Percent of Total					
1 Finance	285	35.66%					
2 Treasury	191	23.90%					
3. Administration	70	8.76%					
4. CEO/President	77	9.63%					
5. Legal	51	6.38%					
6. Other	87	10.88%					
7. Human Resources	38	4 75%					

### **FUNCTIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES**

Table 71 depicts the top risk management executive's level of responsibility for various functional areas. The majority of respondents indicated the top risk management executive had general or shared authority as follows: 93.1% for property and liability insurance purchases; 90.2% for liability claims management, 83% for workers' compensation insurance purchases, 72.6% for workers' compensation claims management; 80.2% for property loss prevention; 61.4% for employee and public safety; and 91.7% for selection of brokers and agents.

Not surprisingly, the majority of respondents indicated the top risk management executive was not responsible for the following: 59% for employee benefits - welfare plans; and 72% for pension and profit sharing - deferred compensation plans

TABLE 71
TOP RISK MANAGEMENT EXECUTIVE: RESPONSIBILITIES
1989

	Ι			
Functional Area	General Authority	Shared Authority	Recommend Only	Not Responsible
Property and Liability Insurance Purchase	657	86	54	1
	82.3%	10.8%	6 8%	1%
Liability Claims Management	502	217	40	38
	63.0%	27.2%	5.0%	4.8%
Workers' Compensation Insurance Purchase	545	95	59	72
	70.7%	12,3%	7.7%	9.3%
Workers' Compensation Claim Management	361	205	55	158
	46 3%	26.3%	7 1%	20.3%
Property Loss Prevention	348	292	119	39
	43 6%	36.6%	14 9%	4 9%
Employee/Public Safety	190	302	180	125
	23 8%	37 6%	22.6%	15 7%
Environmental Affairs	89	279	212	211
	11.3%	35.3%	26.8%	26 7%
Employee Benefits - Welfare Plans	97	147	80	466
<u> </u>	12 3%	186%	10.1%	59.0%
Pension/Profit sharing - Deferred Compensation Plans	57	103	61	567
	7 2%	13 1%	7.7%	72.0%
Security	62	142	192	395
	7.8%	18 0%	24.2%	49 9%
Selection of Brokers/Agents	624	108	51	15
	78.2%	13.5%	6.4%	1.9%

## **EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

Tables 72 and 73 indicate the full-time employment status of the top risk management executive. Table 72 shows this employment status by 1989 revenues, while Table 73 shows it by 1989 cost of risk

Table 72 shows a clear relationship between employment status and organization size. Of all respondents reporting revenues greater than \$3 billion, 97.9% had a full-time risk manager. The respondent group also reported a similar relationship between employment status and total cost of risk, 98.27% of respondents with a cost of risk over \$30 million had a full-time risk manager.

TABLE 72 1989 TOP RISK MANAGEMENT EXECUTIVE JOB: FULL-TIME STATUS BY 1989 REVENUES						
Revenues Full-Time						
\$30,000,000 or less	15 40 54%					
\$30,000,001 to \$100,000,000	49 58.33%					
\$100,000,001 to \$300,000,000	105 64 02%					
\$300,000,001 to \$1,000,000,000	162 79 02%					
\$1,000,000,001 to \$3,000,000,000	146 91.82%					
\$3,000,000,001 or higher	93 97 89%					
Total, all respondents	570 76.61%					

TABLE 73 1989 TOP RISK MANAGEMENT EXECUTIVE JOB: FULL-TIME STATUS BY 1989 COST OF RISK					
Cast of Risk	Full-Time				
3300,000 or less	11 47.82%				
3300,001 to \$1,000,000	39 43.82%				
\$1,000,001 to \$3,000,000	150 64 10%				
63,000,001 to \$10,000,000	220 89.06%				
\$10,000,001 to \$30,000,000	140 94 82%				
530,000,001 or higher	57 98.27%				
Total, all respondents	617 77 61%				

### XI. USE OF INSURANCE BROKERS AND INSURERS

The 1990 Survey questionnaire asked for information on the use of insurance brokers, agents, direct writers and reinsurers, and broker/agent compensation. These questions were not asked in previous surveys.

## NUMBER OF INSURANCE BROKERS/AGENTS USED

Table 74 shows the number of insurance brokers/agents used, profiled by 1989 revenues. Overall, 33.4% of respondents used two insurance brokers/agents. The majority of respondents, 77.8%, used between one and three insurance brokers/agents.

TABLE 74 NUMBER OF INSURANCE BROKERS/AGENTS USED: PROFILE BY 1989 REVENUES								
		NUI	MBER OF	INSURAN	CE BROKE	RS/AGENT	'S USED	
Revenues	None	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six to Ten	Greater Than Ten
\$30,000,000 or less	1	13	14	8	D	0	1	0
	2.7%	35.1%	37.8%	21.6%	0%	0%	2 7%	.0%
\$30,000,001 to \$100,000,000	3	20	30	13	11	5	2	0
	3.6%	23.8%	35.7%	15.5%	13,1%	6.0%	2.4%	.0%
\$100,000,001 to \$300,000,000	0	50	57	34	11	9	3	1
	.0%	30.3%	34.5%	20.6%	6.7%	5 5%	1.8%	.6%
\$300,000,001 to \$1,000,000,000	6	53	65	44	23	7	7	1
	2.9%	25.7%	316%	21.4%	11.2%	3.4%	3.4%	.5%
\$1,000,000,001 to \$3,000,000,000	7	33	57	28	11	9	13	í
	4.4%	20.8%	35.8%	17.6%	69%	5 7%	8.2%	.6%
\$3,000,000,001 or higher	1	13	26	22	14	ð	10	0
	1.1%	13.7%	27 4%	23.2%	14 7%	95%	10.5%	0%
Total Respondents in Each Category	18	182	249	149	70	39	36	3
	2.4%	24.4%	33,4%	20.0%	9,4%	5.2%	4.8%	4%

## BROKER/AGENT COMPENSATION

Table 75 provides the broker/agent compensation as a percentage of premium for property insurance, liability insurance, workers' compensation, and other insurance coverages. For both property and liability insurance, the majority of respondents, 54.1% and 53.8% respectively, paid compensation between 6% and 10% of premiums to brokers/agents.

TABLE 75 1989 BROKER/AGENT COMPENSATION									
	AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION: PERCENT OF PREMIUM								
	Less than 3%	3% to 5%	6% to 10%	11% to 20%	Over 20%				
Property Insurance	7	49	173	88	3				
	2,2%	15.3%	54.1%	27.5%	.9%				
Liability Insurance	21	52	169	65	7				
	6 7%	16 6%	53.8%	20 7%	2 2%				
Workers' Compensation	28	80	87	20	6				
	12 7%	36.2%	39 4%	9.0%	2.7%				
Other	8	12	60	38	3				
	6,6%	9.9%	49.6%	31 4%	2.5%				

Table 76 shows the method of compensation for brokers/agents by type of coverage. Not surprisingly, the most frequently cited response, for each line of coverage, for method of broker/agent compensation was straight commission.

TABLE 76 1989 BROKER/AGENT COMPENSATION: METHOD OF COMPENSATION				
	Fixed Fee for Service	Negotiated Commission	Straight Commission	
Property Insurance	221	94	370	
	32.3%	13.7%	54.0%	
Liability Insurance	268	117	328	
	37.6%	16.4%	46.0%	
Workers' Compensation	243	85	250	
	42.0%	14.7%	43 3%	
Other	83	42	126	
	33.1%	15.7%	50 2%	

Table 77 shows that for the majority of respondents, insurance placement (69.2%), and risk analysis (41.1%) were included in their brokers/agents commission. For the remaining services, the majority of the responding organizations did not receive these services from their brokers/agents.

TABLE 77 1989 BROKER/AGENT COMPENSATION: SERVICES SUPPLIED FOR COMPENSATION					
Service	Included in Commission	Fee for Service	Not Provided by Broker/Agent		
Insurance Placement	545	237	6		
	69.2%	30.0%	.8%		
Retention Selection	301	139	348		
	38.2%	17.6%	44.2%		
Risk Analysis	324	182	282		
	41.1%_	23 1%	35.8%		
Annual Loss Projection	216	148	424		
	27.4%	18,8%	53.8%		
Property Exposure Survey	266	153	369		
	33 8%	19,4%	46 8%		
Risk Management Information Systems	108	124	556		
	13 7%	15.7%	70.6%		

#### USE OF INSURERS: DIRECT WRITERS/REINSURERS

Table 78 shows the number of respondents that utilized direct writers and the number that deal directly with reinsurers. Of the 809 respondents to the survey, 397 (49%) do not utilize direct writers. However, of the 412 respondents (51%) that do use direct writers, the majority, 256 (62%), use one direct writer versus two or more.

Only those respondents reporting the use of captives indicated that they dealt directly with reinsurers; which were 93 or 12% of the total number of respondents.

	1989 US	TABLE 78 1989 USE OF INSURERS: DIRECT WRITERS/REINSURERS						
		Number o	Number of Respondents Who Deal Directly With Reinsurers					
	Zero	One	Two	Three	More than Three			
Number of Respondents	397	256	97	22	37	93		

#### APPENDIX A

#### METHODOLOGY

The information presented in the <u>1990 Cost of Risk Survey</u> report was gathered from the 809 usable responses (18%) to the 4,394 questionnaires mailed in April 1990 to RIMS' first deputy members and nine questionnaires mailed to non-RIMS members.

The 1990 Survey questionnaire differs from previous surveys: the Survey was presented in five parts to address the diverse allocation of risk management responsibilities within respondent organizations; additional questions regarding the use of insurance brokers, insurance companies, etc. were added; and the Survey requested only one year of data in most cases. RIMS and Tillinghast anticipate conducting the <u>Cost of Risk Survey</u> on an annual basis in an effort to provide the most current information on a timely basis.

All completed questionnaires were reviewed for accuracy. Tillinghast conducted telephone and/or written follow-up with approximately 50% of the respondents in order to clarify and verify responses.

The confidentiality of all participants has been strictly maintained. All Surveys were destroyed by RIMS after tabulation.

The questionnaire and all instructions are included as Appendix B. When reading sections, it may be useful to refer to this Appendix to identify what is included in the data presented

#### USING THE 1990 COST OF RISK SURVEY

We believe that the <u>1990 Cost of Risk Survey</u> documents the costs of risk for the 27 industry groups examined. However, there are three cautions to be noted in using this study:

- The <u>1990 Cost of Risk Survey</u> is a stand-alone study that <u>does not update</u> past surveys. Some but not all of the respondents to the 1990 Survey may have participated in earlier surveys. In addition, survey questions have changed from survey to survey and may not be comparable.
- A high cost of risk does not necessarily indicate that a poor job has been done. Rather, it could reflect a superior job in identifying, treating, and accounting for the cost of all loss exposures

facing the organization. It may also represent the results of a superior effort of purchasing high limits of cover with broad terms and conditions.

Likewise, a low cost of risk is not proof of superior risk management performance

How then should the reader use the <u>1990 Cost of Risk Survey</u>? First, in its simplest form, it provides a method for categorizing various risk and insurance costs. Second, it can serve as a benchmark against which an organization's cost of risk can be compared to similar organizations

#### COMMENT ON DATA REPORTING

1989 Data. Respondents were asked to provide premiums, deductibles, and limits for their 1989 insurance coverages, on a calendar year basis. For those policies not corresponding with the 1989 calendar year, we requested respondents to calculate the cost for the 1989 calendar year or to assign the cost to the year in which the policy year ends (e.g., an October 1, 1988-September 30, 1989 policy would be a 1989 policy).

**1984 Data.** Some results from the <u>1985 Cost of Risk Survey</u> (which collected 1984 data) are presented within this report.

**Risk Control Costs.** We received few responses to this part of the survey. We believe this is due to the overall difficulty organizations have in quantifying risk control expenditures since activities can be dispersed throughout an organization rather than centralized into one unit. We therefore excluded the risk control expenditure amounts from all financial analyses.

However, the Survey still contains other risk control information, such as where the risk control function is placed within organizations (see Chapter VI, Table 53). Many respondents indicated that they do not oversee all risk control activities for their organizations. In addition, they may not keep detailed cost figures for property protection, employee safety, public safety, security, and other such expenditures (See Part V of the 1990 Survey in Appendix B.)

Self-Insured, Self-Retained, or Self-Assumed Loss Costs. While we received a greater number of usable responses to this part of the 1990 Survey, compared to previous attempts, some of the

responses were still incomplete. In some cases, respondents were unable to provide any information, or only tracked aggregate annual payments. We found that some of the respondents still do not maintain detailed records of their self-assumed losses or administrative costs

In addition, we requested self-assumed liability loss costs for 1988 and 1989. Liability claims pay out over time, and the final costs may not be known for many years. This can lead to the under- or overestimation of total losses. As reserving practices vary among claims managers, care must be exercised when using these numbers for analysis and comparison.

Administrative Costs. While we asked for the total risk management department budget, including all administrative costs, wages, salaries, bonuses, allocated and fixed overhead costs, etc., many respondents only provided "direct salary" expenses.

**Property Valuation and Premiums.** Property premiums were not perfectly comparable since some organizations reported property insurance values on the basis of replacement cost, whereas others used actual cash value or other methods of valuation. Also, some organizations insured only against fire and extended perits, while others insured on a broader basis.

Liability Insurance Costs. Some respondents purchased loss-sensitive insurance programs, e.g., paid loss retrospectively rated programs. In these instances, respondents often were unable to provide a reasonable estimate of the ultimate cost of the programs. In these instances we relied on proxies such as standard premium.

**Pools.** Six risk-sharing pools responded to the 1990 Survey. As these organizations' revenues (premiums) or assets were often on par with their cost of risk, they tended to distort the ratios taken as a percent of revenues or assets. When queried, these respondents were not able to provide the consolidated revenues and/or assets of their members. We have excluded their revenues and assets from all calculations to minimize distortion.

;

#### INTERPRETING THE RESULTS

Throughout this report, six statistical terms are used. The "Lowest Value" and "Highest Value" numbers refer to the responses which represented the lowest and highest figures. The "Median" is the figure at which one-half of the responses had a lower value and one-half had a higher value.

The "First and Third Quartile" numbers are those at which one-quarter of the responses are below and above that figure, respectively. The "Industrywide Cost of Risk" number represents the sum of the costs for a given industry group divided by the sum of, in most instances, the total revenues or assets for that industry group.

For ease of use, self-insured losses are referred to as uninsured losses except where such funding techniques are commonly referred to as 'self-insurance," such as in workers' compensation.

## APPENDIX B: COST OF RISK QUESTIONNAIRE

Part I: Demographic and Administrative Information

1.0	Organization Domicile (please check one):
	<ul> <li>□ 1. U.S. based company or organization.</li> <li>□ 2. Canadian based company or organization. If Canadian, please indicate if responses are in: Canadian dollars □ U.S. dollars □</li> <li>□ 3. Other (please specify):</li></ul>
2.0	Industry
Standa represe or han	are trying to determine where your major exposures lie, please provide the primary 4-digit and Industrial Classification (SIC) code (from the list on the opposite page) which is entative of your primary activity as determined by the product, group of products produced dled, or service rendered. (This will be the industry group your organization's data will be ed within.)
2.1	Primary Standard Industrial Classification code:
Please primar	provide a one to three-word description of your organization's primary function, e.g. y care hospital, commercial bank, clothing retailer, etc.
2.2	Primary industry or service:
3.0	Risk Management and Insurance Department
time s	Number of employees in department in 1989. Include both full-time employees and those ome responsibilities unrelated to risk and insurance management by indicating the fraction of pent on matters relating to risk and insurance management. (For example, an Assistant rer who spends one-half time on risk management would be indicated as "0.5".)
	A. Professional Staff
	B. Clerical Staff
	C. Total
etc. P	Total risk management department budget, including all administrative costs, wages, s, bonuses, allocated and fixed overhead costs, staff training, dues, travel, subscriptions, lease do not include premiums, self-assumed loss or other costs paid to outsiders such as tants, brokers, etc.
	Total Budget S

3.3	Is the top risk management job a full-time position? Yes \( \Boxed{\text{ No}} \( \Boxed{\text{ No}} \( \Boxed{\text{ II}} \)
	Please list the exact title of the person responsible for day-to-day risk management operations in your organization.
3.4	Does the top risk management executive have direct budgetary responsibility for the items listed below? Please write in the <i>letter</i> of the most accurate description:
	<ul> <li>a = general authority</li> <li>b = shared authority (with another department or function)</li> <li>c = recommend only</li> <li>d = not responsible</li> </ul>
	A. Property and liability insurance purchase
	B. Liability claims management
	C. Workers' compensation insurance purchase
	D. Workers' compensation claims management
	E. Property loss prevention
	F. Employee/public safety
	G. Environmental affairs
	H. Employee benefits — welfare plans
	Pension/profit sharing, qualified/registered deferred compensation plans
	J. Security
	K . Selection of brokers/agents
	L. Other (please specify):
3.5	Function to which the top risk management executive reports: (please check one)
	<ul> <li>□ A. Treasury</li> <li>□ B. Legal</li> <li>□ C. Finance</li> <li>□ D. CEO/President</li> <li>□ E. Administration</li> <li>□ I. Human Resources/Personnel</li> </ul>
	F. C. Other (place enecify):

4.1	With how many insurance	agents/l	prokers do	es your organiz	ation work? _	
4.2	How are your broker(s) compensated and what % of premium is their compensation (if no fee for service)?					
		S <u>Cor</u>	traight nmission	Negotiated Commission	Fee for Service	% of <u>Premium</u>
	A. Property Insurance			<u> </u>		
	B. Liability Insurance		0	a		
	C. Workers' Compensation	on				
	D. Other:					
4.3	What services do your bapply):	oroker(s)	supply f	or their compe	nsation (pleas	e check all that
		ee for ervice				
		0	A. Ins	surance placeme	nt	
			B. Re	tention selection		
	Ω		C. Ri	sk analysis		
			D. Ar	inual loss projec	tion	
	_		E. Pro	operty exposure	survey	
	0	0	F. Ri	sk management	information sy	stems
4.4	With how many direct widoes your organization wo	riter inst ork?	urance coi		berty Mutual,	Allendale, etc.)
4.5	Does your organization de	al directi	ly with rei	nsurers? Yes	s 🛭 No	
5.0	Fees to Outside Servi	ce Prov	viders			
	Please indicate 1989 fees management or insurance program, self-insurance figures or ongoing program	e consult studies,	tants who etc. Do	perform audits not show costs	or reviews of already include	l your insurance ded in premium
5.1	Total outside service costs	\$ \$				

Use of Insurance Brokers/Insurers

4.0

# 6.0 1989 Company Data

This	information,	particularly	revenues, i	s necessary for	survey	compilation.	If
				vide estimates.			

"Revenue" is the sales, gross income or budget of an organization. Government Entities and notfor-profit organizations should provide the total Annual Budget.

Only banking organizations should supply information on "Deposits."

"Assets" — please show your organization's year-end figure.

"Number of Employees" — estimate the total year-end number of employees for your organization, or if your business is seasonal, the average number of employees. Include both full-time and part-time employees.

6.1	Total worldwide rever	\$	,000,000		
6.2	Deposits (if a banking organization)				
6.3	Total assets (from financial statement)			\$	000,000,
6.4	Is your organization p	rivately held?	Privately held [	Not pr	rivately held 🏻
6.5	Total number of emplo	oyees in your org	anization:		
	U.S	Canadian	Other	<del>.</del>	Total

# Part II: Property and Liability Insurance

The years indicated on the following pages refer to calendar years. However, if your policy years do not coincide and you cannot reasonably calculate the cost on a calendar year basis, please assign the cost to the year in which the policy year ends (e.g. an October 1, 1988 - September 30, 1989 policy would be a 1989 policy).

1.0	Background	
1.1	Do the premiums apply to (check all appropri	ate boxes):
	<ul> <li>□ A. U.S. only</li> <li>□ B. Canadian only</li> <li>□ C. U.S. and Canadian</li> <li>□ D. Worldwide</li> </ul>	
1.2	Are dollar amounts expressed in:	
	<ul><li>□ A. U.S. dollars</li><li>□ B. Canadian dollars</li></ul>	
2.0	Property Insurance Program	
intern	re trying to determine your organization's to ational and domestic, as well as your insure ees and risk sharing pools.	otal 1989 property insurance premium, both ed values. Please include premiums paid to
2.1	Please indicate the most recent significant policies(month/year)	renewal date (month and year) for property
2.2	Property Coverage (check all that apply)	
	A. Property Coverage is primarily:	B. Property limit is set:
	<ul> <li>□ (1) All risk</li> <li>□ (2) Fire</li> <li>□ (3) DIC</li> <li>□ (4) EC only</li> <li>□ (5) Specified perils</li> </ul>	☐ (1) Blanket basis ☐ (2) Specified per loss ☐ (3) Other
	C. Predominant Property Valuation Basis:	
	<ul> <li>☐ (1) Replacement Cost</li> <li>☐ (2) Actual Cash Value (ACV)</li> <li>☐ (3) Other (please specify):</li> </ul>	

# 2.3 Property Insurance Premiums

		Coverage Not <u>Purchased</u>	Insured <u>Value</u>	Limit <u>Purchased</u>	<u>Premium</u>
A.I	Property damage		000,000 &	\$ ,000,000	\$
A.2	Business interruption	0	\$ .000,000	\$ .000,000	\$
A.3	Extra expense	D	\$ .000,000	\$ ,000,000	\$
A.4	Flood		\$000,000	\$ ,000,000	\$
A.5	Earthquake (excluding California)		\$000,000	\$\$	\$
В.	Boiler and machinery direct damage, business interruption, and extra expense	0	\$	\$ ,000,000	\$
C.	Fidelity/crime insurance			\$ ,000,000	\$
D.	Financial institutions blanket bond			\$ ,000,000	\$
E.	California earthquake	0	\$,000,000	\$_,000,000	\$
F.	Other property (please iten	nize);			
	l,		\$ ,000,000	\$ ,000,000	\$
	2.		\$ ,000,000	\$ ,000,000	\$
	3		\$ ,000,000	\$ ,000,000	\$
G.	Total Property Insura	nce Premiu	ims		\$

# 3.0 Liability Insurance Program

We are trying to determine the ultimate cost of your organization's total 1989 liability insurance premium (excluding workers' compensation) as well as the limits. Please include premiums for guaranteed cost programs, estimated ultimate retrospectively rated program cost, and for captives.

3.1	Please indicate the most recent significant renewal date (month and year) for liability
	policies
	(month/year)

# 3.2 Liability Premiums

		Coverage Not <u>Purchased</u>	Claims <u>Made</u>	Limit	<u>Premium</u>
Α.	Primary general, auto and, if included in policy, products	<u>.</u>		\$000,000	\$
В.	Excess general, auto and, if included in policies, products	D			
	☐ Includes product liabil.	ity Umbrella First Second All other excess	G G	\$ ,000,000 \$ ,000,000 \$ ,000,000 \$ ,000,000	\$ \$ \$
C.	Product liability if a separate policy is purchased (including excess) Product type: (e.g. aircraft products)	D	0	\$_,000,000	\$
D.	Professional liability if a separate policy is purchased (including excess) Professional coverage: (e.g. medical malpractice)		Ω	\$	\$
E.	Directors' and officers' liability	D		\$ .000,000	\$
F.	Environmental impairment liability	0		\$_,000,000	\$
G.	Fiduciary/ERISA liability	0			\$
H.	Other liability: 1. 2. 3. Total Liability Insurai	7-		\$ ,000,000 \$ ,000,000 \$ ,000,000	\$ \$ \$

### 4.0 Single-Parent Captive Insurers

In question 4.0 we are trying to evaluate the net cost or benefit of any wholly-owned captive insurance company if applicable. The premiums paid to the captive would be shown under previous questions. Thus, we are trying to determine the extra cost (loss) of benefit (income) of your organization's captive program. These amounts include both underwriting and investment income and are related to your own risks and are before income taxes.

		1989
		☐ Net Profit
		☐ Net Loss
4.l	Captive insurance company's profit or loss	\$

## Part III: Workers' Compensation Costs

We are trying to determine the ultimate cost of your organization's 1989 total workers' compensation program as well as the predominant deductible or retention.

The years indicated on the following pages refer to calendar years. However, if your policy years do not coincide and you cannot reasonably calculate the cost on a calendar year basis, please assign the cost to the year in which the policy year ends (e.g. an October 1, 1988 - September 30, 1989 policy would be a 1989 policy).

# 1.0 Workers' Compensation Insurance Program

Please include premiums for guaranteed cost programs, estimated ultimate retrospectively rated program cost for the accident year and premiums for captives.

1.1	Please indicate the most recent significant renewal date (	(month and year) for workers
	(month/year)	
1.2	Workers' compensation/employers liability premiums, including cost of letters of credit, excess workers' compensation payments, and bonds for self-insurers (if appropriate).	\$
1.3	Payments to state funds and/or Canadian provincial workers' compensation board.	\$
1.4	Total Workers' Compensation Premiums	\$

# 2.0 Uninsured Workers' Compensation Losses

We are trying to determine what portion of your workers' compensation losses are self-insured. This reflects costs for losses which actually occurred in 1988 and 1989 — whether or not the losses have been reported or the costs have been paid. For your convenience, we have separated the loss costs into their three components — amounts already paid, outstanding reserves (amounts on known claims that you expect to pay in the future), and estimated incurred-but-not-reported "IBNR" amounts on claims which occurred in that year.

		No Self- Insured <u>Loss</u>	Paid Amounts	Reserved Amounts	IBNR <u>Amounts</u>
2.1	1988 amounts	0	\$	\$	\$
2.2	1989 amounts	D	\$	\$	\$
2.3	Claims adjustment fees and other expenses (internal and external) not included above				
	1988		\$	\$	
	1989		\$	\$	
2.4	Total uninsured workers' compensatio losses	n			
	1988		\$	\$	\$
	1989		\$	\$	\$
2.5	Do you have records on uninsured workers' compensation losses?		☐ Records kept☐ Records not k	сері	
2.6	Predominant workers' con (If your program is fixed o	pensation ost, please	retention or deduce write "fixed cost.	nible: \$	

#### Part IV: Self-Assumed Loss Costs

	We are addressing	the cost of self-assumed	property and liability	v losses.
--	-------------------	--------------------------	------------------------	-----------

## 1.0 Property

Please specify or estimate the dollar amount of uninsured and self-retained property losses experienced by your company. This could include losses within property program deductibles and auto physical damage losses. These should be losses which are otherwise insurable and should exclude ordinary business expenses (e.g. inventory shrinkage).

			1988	1989
1.1	Total Uninsured Property Losses	\$		\$
1.2	Do you keep records on uninsured losses?	0	Records kept No records kept	
incren	E: If insurance is on an ACV basis and the tental cost of the replacement. Show losses ation costs.			
1.3	Predominant Property retention or deductib	le \$_		

#### 2.0 Liability

We are trying to determine what portion of your total liability costs are self-insured or self-assumed. This reflects costs for losses which actually occurred in 1988 and 1989 — whether or not the losses have been reported or the costs have been paid. For you convenience, we have separated the loss costs into their three components — amounts already paid on losses which occurred in that year, outstanding reserves (amounts on known claims that you expect to pay in the future) on claims which occurred in that year, and estimated incurred-but-not-reported (IBNR) amounts for these claims.

NOTE: The cost of any unrecovered products — recall expense should be included in your answer to question B. EEO and EPA suits and settlements not covered by insurance should be included in your response to questions C. and D.

# 2.1 Uninsured Liability Losses

		No Self- Insured <u>Loss</u>	Paid Amounts	Reserved Amounts	IBNR <u>Amounts</u>
A.	General and auto liability				
	1988 1989	<u> </u>	\$ \$	\$ \$	
В.	Product liability				
	1988 1989	0 0	\$ \$	\$ \$	\$ \$
C.	Professional liability (or pliability "trust fund" contr		l		
	1988	0	\$	\$	\$
	1989		\$	\$	\$
D.	Other liability losses				
	1988		\$	\$	\$
	1989	B	\$	\$	\$
E.	Claims adjustment fees ar legal costs, (internal and e				
	1988		\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
	1989			\$	\$
F.	Total Uninsured Liah	oility Los	ses		
	1988 1989		\$	\$ \$	\$
	1707		Ψ	<del></del>	_
2.2	Do you keep records on	uninsured		ecords kept o records kept	
2.3	Predominani General Li	abilaty Poli	cv retention or ded	uctible S	

#### Part V: Risk Control Costs

We seek to determine the cost of risk control for your organization. Risk control is defined as those efforts aimed at anticipating and preventing accidental or unplanned loss. It includes employee safety, environmental affairs, fleet safety, etc. A more complete listing is presented on the following page.

We ask that you give these costs some thought, and, if you are not directly responsible for risk control, please indicate the responsible department(s) and ask them to estimate the total annual expenditures involved for this category of risk management cost. These expenditures can be both internal and external. External expenditures would include fees for outside consultants. If capital expenditures are involved, provide the amount of expense recognized during the year. Please show only identifiable costs primarily related to risk control. The number of people refers to the number of full-time equivalent internal staff involved in this area of activity.

# Risk Control Expenditures for 1989

For each cost area, please identify which department is primarily responsible for these costs:

Risk management	Ġ.	d. Medical	ào	g. Operations
Human resources/personnel	હ	e. Environmental	Ч.	<ul> <li>h. Quality con</li> </ul>
Safety and health for loss control	م	f. Facilities or maintenance		<ol> <li>Other (plea</li> </ol>

. . .

s, etc.)	Responsible Department		
Operations (manufacturing services, etc.) Quality control/quality assurance Other (please name)	External Costs	4	é
g. Operations (manufa h. Quality control/quali i. Other (please name)	Internal Costs Equipment and Supplies	€9	6
Medical Environmental Facilities or maintenance	Intern	€4)	e
d. Medical e. Environmental f. Facilities or ma	Number of People		
KISK management Human resources/personnel Safety and health (or loss control		Employee safety	

			Intern	Internal Costs		
		Number		Equipment	External	Responsible
		of People	Labor	and Supplies	Costs	Department
<u></u>	Employee safety		€	€4	4	
C i	Industrial hygiene		↔	€	69	
·	Property protection		4	€А	<b>∽</b>	
₹7.	Environmental affairs		€4	<b>∞</b>	ۮ	
νς:	Heet or transportation safety		<b>€</b>	€ <del>0</del>	4	
چ.	Public safety (3rd party)		59	69	€⁄9	
<i>C</i> .	Emergency response and contingency planning		\$€	€	\$	
œ.	Secunity		€	€>	64	
6.	Quality assurance/product safety		€9	4	-	
01.	10 Other (e.g. nuclear safety)		<b>∽</b>	€	\$	
<u> </u>	.11 Total Risk Control Expenditures	ditures	<del>69</del>	se.	89	

# APPENDIX C: APPLICABLE STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION CODES

	Cost of Risk	т	
	Industry Groups	Apı	plicable Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Codes
1	Mining & Energy	1000 1200 1300 1400 2900	Metal Mining Coal Mining Oil and Gas Extraction Mining and Quarrying of Nonmetallic Minerals, Except Fuels Petroleum Refining & Related Industries Pipelines, Except Natural Gas
2	Food, Agriculture	0700 0800	Agricultural Production - Crops Agricultural Production - Livestock Agricultural Services Forestry Fishing, Hunting, and Trapping
3	Food, Tobacco, Textiles (Manufacturing)	2100 2200	Food and Kindred Products Tobacco Products Textile Mill Products Apparel and Other Textile Products
4	Construction - Building, Heavy, Special	1600	Building Construction - General Contractors and Operative Builders Heavy Construction Other Than Building Construction; Contractors Construction - Special Trade Contractors
5	Lumber, Furniture, Packaging	2500	Lumber & Wood Products, Except Furniture Furniture and Fixtures Paper and Allied Products
6	Printing, Publishing	2700	Printing, Publishing & Allied Industries
7	Chemicals, Rubber, Plastic		Chemicals & Allied Products (includes pharmaceuticals) Rubber and Miscellaneous Plastic Products
8	Primary Metals, Leather, Stone	3200	Leather and Leather Products Stone, Clay, Glass and Concrete Products Primary Metal Industries
9	Metal Products	3400	Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery & Transportation Equip.
10	Machinery	3500	Industrial and Commercial Machinery and Computer Equipment
11	Electrical Equipment, Instruments	3600 3800	Computer Equipment
12	Misc. Mamafacturing Industries	3900	Miscellaneous Manufacturing Industries
13	Тганвропаліст Ефпіртені	3700	Transportation Equipment

T 14	Transportation Service	4000	Railroad Transportation
``	Transportation 361 vice	4100	Local and Suburban Transit & Interurban Hwy. Passenger Transp.
		4200	Motor Freight Transportation & Warehousing
		4400	Water Transportation
			Transportation by Air
		4700	Transportation Services
15	Telecommunications	l	Communications
16	Electric Utility	4910	Electric Utilities
17	Natural Gas Utility	4920	Gas Production & Distribution
18	Combination Utility		Combined Electric & Gas Utilities All Other Utilities (Water, Sanitary, etc.)
19	Wholesale Trade		Wholesale Trade - Durable Goods Wholesale Trade - Nondurable Goods
20	Retail Trade	5200	Building Materials, Hardware, Garden Supply, Mobile Home Dealers General Merchandise Stores
			Food Stores
		5500	Automotive Dealers & Gasoline Service Stations
			Apparel and Accessory Stores
1			Home Furniture, Furnishings, and Equipment Stores
			Eating and Drinking Places Miscellaneous Retail Stores
		3,000	Miscenancous (Can Biores
21	Finance-Bank, S&L, Holding Co.		Depository Institutions
			Nondepository Credit Institutions
		6700	Holding and Other Investment Offices
22	Finance-Real Estate, Other		Security and Commodity Brokers, Dealers, Exchanges & Services
		6500	Real Estate
23	Insurance	6300	Insurance Carriers
			Insurance Agents, Brokers and Service
24	Personal, Business Service	2000	Hotels Pooming Houses Comps and Other Loylanu Places
24	reisona, Business Service		Hotels, Rooming Houses, Camps, and Other Lodging Places Personal Services
			Business Services
		7500	Auto Repair, Services, and Parking
		7600	Miscellaneous Repair Services
		7800 7900	Motion Pictures Amusement and Recreation Services
			Amusement and Recreation Services Legal Services
			Engineering, Accounting, Research, Management & Related Services
		8900	Services, Not Elsewhere Classified
25	Health Care	8000	Health Services
26	Educational, Nonprofit Institutions	8200	Educational Services
		8300	Social Services
		8400	Museums, Art Galleries, and Botznical and Zoological Gardens
		8600	Membership Organizations
27	Governmental	4300	United States Postal Service
			Municipalities
			Cities
		8970 8970	Counties States
		0770	7(410,7